Aggregate Loss Models

Chapter 9

Stat 346 - Short-term Actuarial Math

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 1/19

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三 ● ● ● ●

Objectives

Objectives

- Individual risk model
- Collective risk model
- Computing the aggregate loss models
- Approximate methods
- Effect of policy modifications
- Chapter 9 (sections 9.1 9.7; exclude 9.6.1 and examples 9.9 and 9.11)
- Assignment: read section 9.7

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = の�?

Individual risk model

- \blacktriangleright Consider a portfolio of n insurance policies.
- Denote the loss, for a fixed period, for each policy i by X_i , for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.
- Assume these lossses are independent and (possibly) identically distributed.
- \blacktriangleright The aggregate loss, S, is defined by the sum of these losses:

$$S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n.$$

It is possible that here a policy does not incur a loss so that each X_i has a mixed distribution with a probability mass at zero.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 3/19

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Alternative representation

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Assume that the losses are also identically distributed say as X. Then we can write X as the product of a Bernoulli I and a positive (continuous) random variable Y:

$$X = IY$$

- ▶ I = 1 indicates there is a claim, otherwise I = 0 means no claim. Let Pr(I = 1) = q and hence Pr(X = 0) = 1 q.
- ► In addition, assume $E(Y) = \mu_Y$ and $Var(Y) = \sigma_Y^2$.
- Typically it is assumed that I and Y are independent so that

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(X|_{\mathcal{T}}\right)\right) = \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{A}\right) \mathcal{E}(X) = \mathcal{E}(I)\mathcal{E}(Y) = q\mu_{Y} \\ & \mathcal{T}\sigma_{\tau}^{2} & \mathcal{T}\sigma_{\tau}^{2} \\ & \text{and } \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathcal{A}\right) = \mathcal{E}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})\right) + \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{T}\right)\right) \\ & \operatorname{Var}(X) = q(1-q)\mu_{Y}^{2} + q\sigma_{Y}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU

4 / 19

Mean and variance in the individual risk model

The mean of the aggregate loss can thus be written as

 $\mathsf{E}(S) = nq\mu_Y$ $(0.15) \left(\frac{100}{300}\right)$

and its variance is

 $Var(S) = nq(1-q)\mu_Y^2 + nq\sigma_Y^2.$ $(\circ \circ \circ \circ) (\circ \circ \circ \circ) (1 - 2)^2 + (\circ \circ \circ) (1 - 2)^2$

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 5/19

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Illustrative examples

$$F(s) = 0.1(1000)n = 100n$$

$$Var(s) = n((0.1)(0.9)(10002) + 0.1(0))$$

$$= 90,000n$$

$$2 = 1.645 = \frac{1(5n - 100n}{3005n} = 5n\left(\frac{1(5 - 100)}{300}\right)$$

- Example 1: An insurable event has a 10% probability of occurring and when it occurs, the amount of the loss is exactly 1,000. Market research has indicated that consumers will pay at most 115 for insuring this event. How many policies must a company sell in order to have a 95% chance of making money (ignoring expenses)? Assume Normal approximation. 1083
- **Example 2:** Exercise 9.20 of textbook.

 $\sqrt{n} = 32.9$

n = 1085.41 = 1083

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Exact distribution of ${\cal S}$ using convolution

Consider the case of S = X₁ + X₂. The density of S can be computed using convolution as:

$$f^{*2}(s) = f_S(s) = \int_0^s f_1(s-y)f_2(y)dy = \int_0^s f_2(s-y)f_1(y)dy$$

To extend to n dimension, do it recursively. Let $f^{*(n-1)}(s)$ be the (n-1)-th convolution so that

$$f^{*n}(s) = f_S(s) = \int_0^s f^{*(n-1)}(s-y)f_n(y)dy$$
$$= \int_0^s f_n(s-y)f^{*(n-1)}(y)dy$$

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 7 / 19

The case of the mixed random variable

Often, X_i has a probability mass at zero so that its density function is given by

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} q_i, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ (1 - q_i)f_Y(x), & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $f_Y(\cdot)$ is a legitimate density function of a positive continuous random variable. Here, q_i is interpreted as the probability that the loss is zero.

In this case, use the cumulative distribution function:

$$F^{*2}(s) = F_S(s) = \int_0^s F_1(s-y)dF_2(y) = \int_0^s F_2(s-y)dF_1(y)$$

To extend to n dimension, do it recursively.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 8 / 19

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

The case of the Exponential distribution

Consider the case where X_i has the density function expressed as

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0.10, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ 0.90 f_Y(x), & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where Y is an Exponential with mean 1, for i = 1, 2.

Derive expressions for the density and distribution functions of the sum $S = X_1 + X_2$.

$$F(S) = 1 - 0.99e^{-S} - 0.81Se^{-S} F(S) = \begin{cases} 0.18e^{-S} + 0.81Se^{-S} S > 0 \\ 0.01 S = 0 \\ 0.01 S = 0 \end{cases}$$
Chapter 9 (Stat 346)
$$Aggregate Loss Models$$
Brian Hartman - BYU 9/19

Approximating the individual risk model

- For large n, according to the Central Limit Theorem, S can be approximated with a Normal distribution.
- Use the results on slides p. 5 to compute the mean and the variance of the aggregate loss S in the individual risk model.
- Then, probabilities can be computed using Normal as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Pr(S \leq s) &= \Pr\left[\frac{S - \mathsf{E}(S)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{Var}(S)}} \leq \frac{S - \mathsf{E}(S)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{Var}(S)}}\right] \\ &\approx \Pr\left[Z \leq \frac{S - \mathsf{E}(S)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{Var}(S)}}\right] \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{S - \mathsf{E}(S)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{Var}(S)}}\right) \end{split}$$

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 10 / 19

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 の Q (~)

Illustrative example 1

 $E(b_k) = 2$

E (b) = 10

E(s) = 25(0.01)(2) = 0.7Var(s) = 25(0.01)(0.99)2² + 25(0.01)($\frac{20}{2r}$) = 1.19

An insurer has a portfolio consisting of 25 one-year life insurance policies grouped as follows:

aca ^{ls} /	Insured amount b_k	Number of po	licies n_k	
0.97 (0.99) +	(0.0)(p.99)	10	10 (0.0() (0.99) +
	2	5	5 (0.01) (0.99	3)4 +
	3	10	10(0.01)(0.9	9)9

The probability of dying within one year is $q_k = 0.01$ for each insured, and the policies are independent.

The insurer sets up an initial capital of \$1 to cover its future obligations.

Using Normal approximation, calculate the probability that the insurer will be able to meet its financial obligation. $(\sim 0, \zeta)$

1-0.7 = 0.453

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 11 / 19

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Collective risk model

Collective risk model

Let X_i be the claim payment made for the *i*th policyholder and let N be the random number of claims. The insurer's aggregate loss is

$$S = X_1 + \dots + X_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i.$$

- This is called the Collective Risk Model.
- If N, X₁, X₂, ... are independent and the individual claims X_i are i.i.d., then S has a compound distribution.
- \triangleright N: frequency of claims; X: the severity of claims.
- \blacktriangleright Central question is finding the probability distribution of S.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ ∽ へ ペ
Brian Hartman - BYU 12 / 19

Properties of the collective risk model

- ► X is called the individual claim and assume has moments denoted by $\mu_k = \mathsf{E}(X^k)$.
- Mean of S: $E(S) = E(X)E(N) = \mu_1 E(N)$
- ► Variance of S: $Var(S) = E(N)Var(X) + Var(N)\mu_1^2$
- MGF of S: $M_S(t) = M_N[\log M_X(t)]$
- ▶ PGF of S: $P_S(t) = P_N[P_X(t)]$
- ▶ CDF of S: $\Pr(S \le s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pr(S \le s | N = n) \Pr(N = n)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへで

Example - Exponential/Geometric

Suppose
$$X_i \sim \mathsf{Exp}(1)$$
 and $N \sim \mathsf{Geometric}(p)$.

MGF of N:
$$M_N(t) = \frac{p}{1 - qe^t}$$
; MGF of X: $M_X(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t}$

• Mean of
$$S$$
: $E(S) = q/p$

• Variance of S:
$$Var(S) = q/p + q/p^2 = (q/p)(1 + 1/p)$$

• MGF of S:
$$M_S(t) = \frac{p}{1 - qM_X(t)} = \frac{p}{1 - q/(1 - t)} = p + q\frac{p}{p - t}$$

Observe that the mgf of S can be written as a weighted average: p× mgf of r.v. 0 + q× mgf of Exp(p) r.v.

• Thus,
$$F_S(s) = p + q(1 - e^{-ps}) = 1 - qe^{-ps}$$
 for $s > 0$.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Brian Hartman - BYU 14 / 19

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ● ≧ ● のへで

Poisson number of claims

If N ∼ Poisson(λ), so that λ is the average number of claims, then the resulting distribution of S is called a Compound Poisson.

• MGF of N:
$$M_N(t) = \exp \left[\lambda \left(e^t - 1\right)\right]$$

- It can then be shown that:
 - Mean of S: $E(S) = \lambda E(X) = \lambda \mu_1$
 - ► Variance of S: $Var(S) = \lambda E(X^2) = \lambda \mu_2$
 - MGF of S: $M_S(t) = \exp \left[\lambda \left(M_X(t) 1\right)\right]$

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 15 / 19

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへで

Illustrative example 2

Suppose S has a compound Poisson distribution with $\lambda=0.8$ and individual claim amount distribution

x	$\Pr(X = x)$
1	0.250
2	0.375
3	0.375

Compute $f_S(s) = \Pr(S = s)$ for s = 0, 1, ..., 6.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 16 / 19

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Use CLT to approximate the compound distribution

- If the average number of claims is large enough, we may use the Normal approximation to estimate the distribution of S.
- All you need are the mean and variance of the aggregate loss [slides p. 13]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

Compound Poisson is closed under convolution

- ► Theorem 9.7 of book.
- If S₁, S₂,..., S_m are independent compound Poisson random variables with Poisson parameters λ_i and claim distributions (CDF) F_i, for i = 1, 2, ..., m, then

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_m$$

also has a compound Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m$ and individual claim (severity) distribution

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda} F_i(x).$$

You add the number of claim parameters, and the individual claim distribution is a weighted sum.

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 18 / 19

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のQ@

Collective risk model Illustrative example $\begin{aligned}
& \in (\varsigma_i) \in \mathbb{P}(\in (S_i | N_i)) \\
& = (\circ \cdot 2 \varsigma + 2 (\circ \cdot 7 \varsigma)) \mathbb{P}(N) = (\cdot \cdot 7 \varsigma (3) = 5 \cdot 2 \varsigma \\
& \forall \circ (\varsigma_1) = \mathbb{E}(\cup (\varsigma_i | N_i)) + \forall \circ v (\in (\varsigma_i | N_i)) \\
& = \mathbb{E}(N, \forall \circ (\varsigma_1)) + \forall \circ v (\in (\varsigma_i | N_i)) \\
& = \mathbb{E}(N, \forall \circ (\varsigma_1)) + \forall \circ v (N_i \cap \mathbb{P}(\varsigma_i)) \\
& = \forall \circ (\varsigma_i) \mathbb{P}(N) + (\cdot 7 \varsigma^2) \vee v (N_i) \\
& = \forall \circ (\varsigma_i) \mathbb{P}(N) + (\cdot 7 \varsigma^2) \vee v (N_i) \\
& \text{You are given } S = S_1 + S_2, \text{ where } S_1 \text{ and } S_2 \text{ are independent and have } \\
& \text{compound Poisson distributions with } \lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and individual } \\
& \text{claim amount distributions:}
\end{aligned}$

x	$p_1(x)$	$p_2(x)$	$Ncm(\chi') = f(0.32) + 1(0.52)$
1	0.25	0.10	- (.75 ⁻
2	0.75	0.40	
3	0.00	0.40	
4	0.00	0.10	

Determine the mean and variance of the individual claim amount for S. 10.25 23.55

Chapter 9 (Stat 346)

Aggregate Loss Models

Brian Hartman - BYU 19 / 19